arXiv:1701.00423v1 [math.RT] 2 Jan 2017

Clustered Hyperbolic Categories

Ibrahim Saleh
Mathematics Department
University of Wisconsin-Marathon
Wausau, WI 54401

Abstract

We introduce a class of categories, called *clustered hyperbolic categories*, which are generated by equivalent categories of representations of some Weyl cluster algebras. Every preseed p gives rise to a *categorical preseed* $\mathcal{P}$ which generates a clustered hyperbolic category through *categorical mutations*. A "categorification" of Weyl cluster algebra is introduced in the sense of defining a map $\mathbf{F}_p$ from the clustered hyperbolic category $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$ to the Weyl cluster algebra $\mathcal{H}(p)$ where image of $\mathbf{F}_p$ generates $\mathcal{H}(p)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 16T05, 06B15, 17B37.

Keywords: Representations Theory, Generalized Weyl Algebras, Cluster Algebras.

1 Introduction

Cluster algebras were introduced by S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky in [10, 11, 12, 19, 2]. A cluster algebra is a commutative algebra with a distinguished set of generators called cluster variables and particular type of relations called mutations. A quantum version was introduced in [3] and [7, 8, 9].

Generalized Weyl algebras were first introduced by V. Bavula in [1] and separately as hyperbolic algebras by A. Rosenberg in [16]. Their motivation was to find a ring theoretical frame work to study the representations theory of some important "small algebras" such as the first Heisenberg algebra, Weyl algebras and the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $sl(2)$. In [16], Rosenberg introduced *hyperbolic categories* which are basically generalizations of the categories of representations of generalized Weyl algebras.

In [18], we introduced Weyl cluster algebras which is a non-commutative algebra generated by a cluster structure that is formed by mutations from (possibly infinite) many copies of generalized Weyl algebras.

Several attempts have been done to introduce "categorifications" for cluster algebras, taking into account the different ways of defining the notion of categorification. In [13, 14], cluster algebras of certain finite types were realized as Grothendick rings of categories of representations of some quantum

affine algebras. Another type of categorification of cluster algebras was introduced in [5], which is Caldero-Chapoton map. In [4], *cluster category* $\mathcal{C}(Q)$ was introduced for any finite quiver Q with neither loops nor two cycles. The Caldero-Chapoton map X_T is a map from $\mathcal{C}(Q)$ to the ring of Laurent polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}$ in the initial cluster variables associated to Q. It sends certain indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{C}(Q)$ to cluster variables such that its image generates the cluster algebra $A(Q)$.

In this paper we provide a similar type of categorification for Weyl cluster algebra. We introduce a categorical version of Weyl preseed called *categorical preseeds* and a "functorial" version of preseeds mutations called *categorical mutations*, see Definitions 3.5 and Definition 3.9 respectively. Every categorical preseed generates an ambient hyperbolic category, called *mutation category*, which is generated by equivalent copies of categories of representations of Weyl cluster algebras. Every mutation category contains a *clustered hyperbolic category* as a subcategory which we use as the categories of categorification for Weyl cluster algebras. That is, we define a map from clustered hyperbolic category to a Weyl cluster algebra of the sam rank such that its image generates the Weyl cluster algebra.

A technique of identifying clustered hyperbolic categories as subcategories of mutation categories, is provided through combinatorial tools introduced in this paper, namely *zigzag presentations*. Which is a presentation that encodes the relations between the expressions of the skew Laurent objects, which are dual to cluster variables. In the following we summarize the main statements of this article.

Theorem 1.1. *Every mutation category contains a clustered hyperbolic category as a subcategory.*

Every Weyl preseed p gives rise to a *categorical preseed* $\mathcal{P}$ which is used to generate a mutation category $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})$.

Theorem 1.2. *The clustered hyperbolic category* $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$ *is generated by (possibly infinitely many) equivalent hyperbolic categories; each one of these hyperbolic categories is equivalent to the category* $\mathcal{H}(p)$*-mod, where* $\mathcal{H}(p)$ *is the Weyl cluster algebra generated by* p*.*

Theorem 1.3. *There is a map from the category* $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$ *to the hyperbolic cluster algebra* $\mathcal{H}(p)$ *such that its image generates* $\mathcal{H}(p)$*.*

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted for basic definitions of Weyl cluster algebras. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of categorical preseeds and their mutations. Examples and properties of categorical preseeds are also given. In the same section we introduce, *hyperbolic objects* and the zigzag presentations and some of their properties are given in Lemma 3.15,

which takes us to clustered hyperbolic categories definition. We finish Section 3 with proving the relation between the clustered hyperbolic category and the category of representations of its associated Weyl cluster algebra. In Theorem 3.22, we introduce a map from the clustered hyperbolic category to the associated Weyl cluster algebra.

Throughout the paper, K is a field of zero characteristic and the notation $[1, k]$ stands for the set $\{1, \ldots, k\}$. All our categories are small, $Obj.\mathcal{A}$ stands for the set of all objects of the category $\mathcal{A}$ and $Mor._{\mathcal{A}}(M, M')$ denotes all morphisms in the category $\mathcal{A}$ from the object M to the object M'. Let R be an associative ring with a non-trivial center $Z(\mathrm{R})$. Then, the group of all automorphisms of R over the filed of zero characteristic K will be denoted by $Aut._K(\mathrm{R})$. The functor $id_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the identical functor of the category $\mathcal{A}$.

2 Weyl cluster algebras

2.1 Generalized Weyl algebras

Definition 2.1 (Generalized Weyl algebra (1, 16, 17))**.** Let R be an associative ring with $\xi = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ be a fixed set of elements of the center of R and $\theta = \{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\}$ be a set of ring automorphisms such that $\theta_i(\xi_j) = \xi_j$ for all $i \neq j$. *The generalized Weyl algebra* of degree n, denoted by $R_n\{\theta, \xi\}$, is defined to be the ring extension of R generated by the $2n$ indeterminates $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n$ modulo the commutation relations

$$x_i r = \theta_i(r) x_i \quad \text{and} \quad r y_i = y_i \theta_i(r), \quad \forall i \in [1, n], \ \forall r \in R, \tag{2.1}$$

$$x_i y_i = \xi_i, \ y_i x_i = \theta^{-1}(\xi_i), \ \forall i \in [1, n], \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$x_i y_j = y_j x_i, \quad x_i x_j = x_j x_i \text{ and } y_i y_j = y_j y_i, \quad \forall i \neq j \in [1, n]. \tag{2.3}$$

We warn the reader that $x_i y_i \neq y_i x_i$ in general.

Example 2.2 (6, 1, 16, 17). Let A_n be the n^{th} Weyl algebra generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1 \ldots, y_n$ over K subject to the relations

$$x_i y_i - y_i x_i = 1, \text{ and } \ x_i x_j = x_j x_i, \quad y_i y_j = y_j y_i \ \text{ for } \ i \neq j, \ \forall i, j \in [1, n]. \tag{2.4}$$

Let $\xi_i = x_i y_i, \varepsilon_i = y_i x_i$ and R be the ring of polynomials $K[\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n]$ and $\theta_i : R \to R$, induced by $\xi_i \mapsto \xi_i + 1, \xi_j \mapsto \xi_j, j \neq i$, for all $i, j \in [1, n]$. It is known that A_n is isomorphic to the generalized Weyl algebra $R_n\{\theta, \xi\}$.

Example 2.3 (16, 17). The coordinate algebra $A(SL_q(2, k))$ of algebraic quantum group $SL_q(2, k)$ is the K-algebra generated by x, y, u, and v subject to the following relations

$$qux = xu, \quad qvx = xv, \quad qyu = uy, \quad qyv = vy, \quad uv = vu, \quad q \in K^* \tag{2.5}$$

$$xy = quv + 1, \quad \text{and} \quad yx = q^{-1}uv + 1. \tag{2.6}$$

$A(SL_q(2,k))$ is isomorphic to the generalized Weyl algebra $R(\xi, \theta, 1)$, where R is the algebra of polynomials $K[u,v]$; $\xi = 1 + q^{-1}uv$ and θ is an automorphism of R, defined by $\theta(f(u,v)) = f(qu, qv)$ for any polynomial $f(u,v)$.

2.2 Weyl cluster algebras

Every thing in this subsection can be found in [18], we start with introducing a simpler version of the definition of *preseeds*.

Definition 2.4 (Preseeds)**.** 1. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be a finitely generated (free) abelian group, written multiplicatively, with set of generators

$$F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} F_i \text{ where } F_i = \{f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{im_i}\} \text{ for some natural numbers } m_i, i \in [1,n]. \tag{2.7}$$

Let $R = K[\mathbb{P}]$ be the group ring of $\mathbb{P}$ over K. The set of algebraically independent indeterminates $t_1, \cdots, t_n$ is called a *cluster* if they satisfy the following two conditions

(a)

$$t_i t_j = t_j t_i \text{ and } t_i f_{jr} = f_{jr} t_i, \text{ for every } i \neq j \in [1,n], \text{ for all } r \in [1, m_j]; \tag{2.8}$$

(b) The division ring of fractions $\mathcal{D}_n = R(t_1, \cdots, t_n)$ is an Ore domain.

Note that: For every $i \in [1,n]$ the element t_i does not necessary commute with elements from the set F_i. In such case $\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ will be called a *cluster in* $\mathcal{D}_n$.

2. The triple $p = (X, \theta, \xi)$ is called a *Weyl preseed* of rank n in $\mathcal{D}_n$ if we have the following

(a) $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is a cluster in $\mathcal{D}_n$;

(b) $\theta = \{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\}$ be a set of n automorphisms of R such that

$$x_k^{\pm 1} f = \theta_i^{\pm 1}(f) x_i^{\pm 1}, \quad \forall f \in F_i, \forall i \in [1,n]; \tag{2.9}$$

(c) $\xi = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ be a subset of R such that for every $i \in [1,n]$, ξ_i is a monomial in the elements of F_i. The set ξ will be called the set of *exchange monomials* of p.

In the following, we will omit the word Weyl from the expression Weyl preseeds, and all preseeds are of rank n unless stated otherwise. Also for simplicity we will use $\mathcal{D}$ for the division ring of fractions instead of $\mathcal{D}_n$.

Definition 2.5 (Preseeds mutations)**.** Let $p = (X, \theta, \xi)$ be a preseed in $\mathcal{D}$. For each $k \in [1, n]$, two new triples $\mu_k^R(p) = (\mu^R(X), \theta, \xi)$ and $\mu_k^L(p) = (\mu^L(X), \theta, \xi)$ can be obtained from p as follows

- (Right mutation)
$$\mu_k^R(x_i) = \begin{cases} \xi_i x_i^{-1}, & i = k; \\ x_i, & i \neq k. \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$
- (Left mutation)
$$\mu_k^L(x_i) = \begin{cases} x_i^{-1}\xi_i, & i = k; \\ x_i, & i \neq k. \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

Proposition 2.6 (18)**.** *Let $p = (X, \theta, \xi)$ be a preseed in $\mathcal{D}$. Then the following are true*

1. *For any sequence of right mutations (respectively left) $\mu_{i_1}^R \mu_{i_2}^R \dots \mu_{i_q}^R$, we have $\mu_{i_1}^R \mu_{i_2}^R \dots \mu_{i_q}^R(p)$ (respectively $\mu_{i_1}^L \mu_{i_2}^L \dots \mu_{i_q}^L(p)$) is again a preseed;*
2. *For every $k \in [1, n]$,*
$$\mu_k^R \mu_k^L(p) = \mu_k^L \mu_k^R(p) = p. \tag{2.12}$$

Definition 2.7 (Cluster sets and exchange graphs)**.**

1. Let p be a preseed in $\mathcal{D}$. An element $y \in \mathcal{D}$ is said to be a *cluster variable* of p if y is an element in some cluster Y where $s = (Y, \theta, \xi)$, is obtained from p by applying some sequence of (right or left) mutations. The set of all cluster variables of p is called *the cluster set* of p and is denoted by $\mathcal{X}(p)$. The elements of the cluster of p are called *initial cluster variables*.
2. The *exchange graph* of a preseed p, denoted by $\mathbb{G}(p)$, is the n-regular graph whose vertices are labeled by the preseeds that can be obtained from p by applying some sequence of right or left mutations and whose edges correspond to mutations. Two adjacent preseeds in $\mathbb{G}$ can be obtained from each other by applying right and left mutations μ_k^R and μ_k^L for some $k \in [1, n]$.

Example 2.8. Let p be the rank 1 preseed $(\{x_1\}, \theta_1, \xi_1)$ with $F_1 = \{f_1\}$, ξ_1 is some monomial in f_1, θ_1 is an R-automorphism, where $R = K[f_1^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}]$ and $\mathcal{D} = R(x_1)$. Applying mutation at x_1 produces the following cluster variables

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 &\overset{\mu_k^L}{\Rightarrow} x_1^{-1}\xi_1 \\
&\overset{\mu_k^L}{\Rightarrow} (\xi_1)^{-1}x_1\xi_1 \\
&\overset{\mu_k^L}{\Rightarrow} (\xi_1)^{-1}x_1^{-1}(\xi_1)^2 \\
&\overset{\mu_k^L}{\Rightarrow} (\xi_1)^{-2}x_1(\xi_1)^{+2} \\
&\cdots \\
&\overset{\mu_k^L}{\Rightarrow} (\xi_1)^{-k}x_1^{-1}(\xi_1)^{k+1} \\
&\overset{\mu_k^L}{\Rightarrow} (\xi_1)^{-(k+1)}x_1(\xi_1 1)^{k+1} \\
&\cdots ,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 &\overset{\mu_k^R}{\Rightarrow} \xi_1 x_1^{-1} \\
&\overset{\mu_k^R}{\Rightarrow} \xi_1 x_1 (\xi_1)^{-1} \\
&\overset{\mu_k^R}{\Rightarrow} (\xi_1)^2 x_1^{-1} (\xi_1)^{-1} \\
&\overset{\mu_k^R}{\Rightarrow} (\xi_1)^2 x_1 (\xi_1)^{-2} \\
&\cdots \\
&\overset{\mu_k^R}{\Rightarrow} (\xi_1)^{k+1} x_1^{-1} (\xi_1)^{-k} \\
&\overset{\mu_k^R}{\Rightarrow} (\xi_1)^{k+1} x_1 (\xi_1)^{-(k+1)} \\
&\cdots .
\end{aligned}$$

So we have the infinite cluster set

$$\mathcal{X}(p) = \{x_1, (\xi_1)^{k+1}x_1^{-1}(\xi_1)^{-k}, (\xi_1)^k x_1(\xi_1)^{-k}, (\xi_1)^{-k}x_1^{-1}(\xi_1)^{k+1}, (\xi_1)^{-k}x_1^{-1}(\xi_1)^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In the following example we will see that every generalized Weyl algebra gives rise to a preseed.

Example 2.9. Let $R(\theta, \xi, n)$ be a generalized Weyl algebra. Consider the triple $p = (Y, \xi, \theta)$, where $F_i = \{f_i; f_i = y_i x_i\}, i \in [1, n]$, $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$. From the properties of the R-automorphisms $\theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$ given in Equations (2.1) and (2.2) one can see that θ_i satisfies Equation (2.9) for each $i \in [1, n]$ which makes p a preseed in $\mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is the division ring of rational functions in $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ over the ring $R = K[\mathbb{P}]$. In particular, in the case of the n^{th}

Weyl algebra A_n, the ring R is ring of polynomials $K[\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n]$. One can see that the ambient division ring $\mathcal{D}$ of rational functions is an Ore domain. For information about Ore domains we refer to [13, 3].

Example 2.10. Recall the coordinate algebra $A(SL_q(2,k))$ of the algebraic quantum group $SL_q(2,k)$, Example 2.3. Let $F_1 = \{qu, v\}$. Consider the rank 1 preseed $p = (\{x\}, \{\theta\}, \{\zeta\})$, where $\theta : R \to R$ given by $\theta(f(u,v)) = f(qu, qv)$ and $\zeta = quv + 1$. One can see that p is a preseed in the division ring $\mathcal{D} = R[\mathbb{P}](x)$. The cluster set of p is given by

$$\mathcal{X}(p) = \{x, \zeta^j x \zeta^{-j}, \zeta^{j+1} x^{-1} \zeta^{-j-1}, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \bigcup \{y, \zeta^j y \zeta^{-j}, \zeta^{j+1} y^{-1} \zeta^{-j-1}, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \tag{2.13}$$

Definition 2.11 (Weyl cluster algebras)**.** Let $p = (X, \xi, \theta)$ be a preseed in $\mathcal{D}$. The Weyl cluster algebra $\mathcal{H}(p)$ is defined to be the R-subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}$ generated by the cluster set $\mathcal{X}(p)$.

The following remark and theorem shed some light on the structure of the Weyl cluster algebra $\mathcal{H}(p_n)$. Remark 2.12 and first part of Theorem 2.13 can be phrased as following: The Weyl cluster algebra $\mathcal{H}(p_n)$ is generated by R_n and many (could be infinite) isomorphic copies of generalized Weyl algebras, each vertex in the exchange graph of p_n gives rise to two copies of them.

Remark and Definition 2.12. Let $p = (X, \theta, \xi)$ be a Weyl preseed and $R = K[\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n]$ be the ring of polynomials in $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$ where $\xi_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$ are as defined in Example 2.9. Then p gives rise to two copies of generalized Weyl algebras of rank n, as follows

(a) $H^R(p_n)$ is the ring extension of R generated by $\mu_1^R(x_1), \ldots, \mu_n^R(x_n), x_1, \ldots, x_n$.

(b) $H^L(p_n)$ is the ring extension of R generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_n, \mu_1^L(x_1), \ldots, \mu_n^L(x_n)$.

(c) In particular, if $p = (X, \xi, \theta)$ is the preseed given in Example 2.9, then each of $H^R(p)$ and $H^L(p)$ are isomorphic to $R(\theta, \xi, n)$ as generalized Weyl algebras.

Theorem 2.13. *Let $p = (X, \xi, \theta)$ be a Weyl preseed in $\mathcal{D}$. Then the following are true*

1. *Right and left mutations on p induce isomorphisms between the generalized Weyl algebras $H^R(p)$ and $H^R(\mu_k^R(p))$ (respectively $H^L(p)$ and $H^L(\mu_k^L(p))$).*
2. *The Weyl cluster algebra $\mathcal{H}(p)$ is a subring of the (non-commutative) ring of Laurent polynomials in the initial exchange cluster variables with coefficients from ring of polynomials $R[\theta_1^{\pm 1}(\xi_1^{-1}), \ldots, \theta_n^{\pm 1}(\xi_n^{-1})]$.*

3. *The Weyl cluster algebra $\mathcal{H}(p)$ is finitely generated and is isomorphic to each of $H^R(s)$ and $H^L(s)$ for every preseed s mutationally equivalent to p.*

Proof. Proof of Parts (1) and (2) are provided in [18].
To prove Part (3), we only need to prove that the generators of the algebra $\mathcal{H}(p)$ are also elements in the algebras $H^R(s)$ and $H^L(s)$. The algebra $\mathcal{H}(p)$ is generated by the set of all cluster variables that are obtained from the initial cluster variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. We will show that every cluster variable generated from $x_k, k = 1, \ldots, n$ by applying some sequence of mutations is already an element of $H^R(s)$ and $H^L(s)$. From the proof of Part (3) in Theorem 4.12 in [18], a cluster variable y that obtained from x_k by applying a sequence of mutations (right or left) of length l, can be written in the form

$$y = \begin{cases} \xi_k^{\frac{l+1}{2}} x_k^{-1} \xi_k^{-(\frac{l+1}{2}-1)} \text{ or } \xi_k^{-(\frac{l+1}{2}-1)} x_k^{-1} \xi_k^{\frac{l+1}{2}}, \text{ if } l \text{ is an odd number;} \\ \xi_k^{\frac{l}{2}} x_k \xi_k^{-\frac{l}{2}} \text{ or } \xi_k^{-\frac{l}{2}} x_k \xi_k^{\frac{l}{2}}, \text{ if } l \text{ is an even number.} \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

Assume that the sequence of mutations that creates s from p contains m copies of μ_k^R (respect to μ_k^L), then the set of generators of the hyperbolic algebra $H^R(s)$ (respect to $H^L(s)$) contains elements of the form $\xi_k^{\frac{m+1}{2}} x_k^{-1} \xi_k^{-(\frac{m+1}{2}-1)}$ and $\xi_k^{\frac{m}{2}} x_k \xi_k^{-\frac{m}{2}}$ (respect to $\xi_k^{-(\frac{m+1}{2}-1)} x_k^{-1} \xi_k^{\frac{m+1}{2}}$ and $\xi_k^{-\frac{m}{2}} x_k \xi_k^{\frac{m}{2}}$). One can see that whether $m > l$ or $m < l$, y can be obtained from the generators of $H^R(s)$ (respect to $H^L(s)$) by multiplying them from left and right by $\xi_k^{\pm q}$ for some natural number q.

□

Example 2.14 (Weyl cluster algebra associated to first Weyl algebra). Recall the n^{th} Weyl algebra given and the associated preseed given in Example 2.9. Let A_1 be the first Weyl algebra and consider the preseed $p_1 = (\{y\}, \{f\}, \theta\})$. Here $R = K[\mathbb{P}]$, where $\mathbb{P}$ is the cyclic group generated by $f = yx$. Then We have the following exchange graph

- $\mathbb{G}(p_1)$

$$\ldots \overset{R}{\underset{L}{\longleftrightarrow}} \overset{y_{-3}}{\cdot} \overset{R}{\underset{L}{\longleftrightarrow}} \overset{y_{-2}}{\cdot} \overset{R}{\underset{L}{\longleftrightarrow}} \overset{y_{-1}}{\cdot} \overset{R}{\underset{L}{\longleftrightarrow}} \overset{y_0=y}{\cdot} \overset{R}{\underset{L}{\longleftrightarrow}} \overset{y_1}{\cdot} \overset{R}{\underset{L}{\longleftrightarrow}} \overset{y_2}{\cdot} \overset{R}{\underset{L}{\longleftrightarrow}} \overset{y_3}{\cdot} \overset{R}{\underset{L}{\longleftrightarrow}} \ldots, \tag{2.15}$$

(here $\cdot \overset{R}{\longrightarrow}$ is right mutation and $\overset{L}{\longleftarrow} \cdot$ is left mutation). Which can be encoded by the following equations

$$y_{2k} y_{2k\pm1} = y_{2k\pm1} y_{2k} + 1, \quad \text{for} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.16}$$

The Weyl cluster algebra $\mathcal{H}(p_1(y))$ is the R-subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}$ generated by the set of cluster variables $\{y_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Relations (2.15) can be interpreted as follows, each double heads arrow in $\mathbb{G}(p_1)$ corresponds to a copy of first Weyl algebra, denoted by $A_1^k = K\langle y_k, y_{k+1}\rangle, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and right (respectively left) mutations define isomorphisms between the adjacent copies, given by $T_k : A_1^k \to A_1^{k+1}, \quad y_k \mapsto y_{k+1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (respectively to the inverses of $T_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$).

3 Hyperbolic cluster category

3.1 Hyperbolic category

Definition 3.1 (Hyperbolic category (15, 17))**.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category with a set of n-auto-equivalences $\Theta = \{\Theta_1, \ldots, \Theta_n\}$ and another set of n-endomorphisms $\xi = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ of the identical functor of $\mathcal{A}$. Consider the endomorphism ε_i of the identical functor of $\mathcal{A}$ given by

$$\varepsilon_i := \Theta^{-1}(\xi_i), \quad i \in [1, n]. \tag{3.1}$$

The hyperbolic category of rank n on $\mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_n\{\Theta, \xi\}$ and is defined as follows: Objects are triples (γ, M, η) where M is an object in $\mathcal{A}$ and γ and η are two sets of $\mathcal{A}$-morphisms such that $\gamma = \{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n\}$, $\eta = \{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n\}$ where

$$\gamma_i : M \longrightarrow \Theta_i(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_i : \Theta_i(M) \longrightarrow M, \quad i \in [1, n]$$

given by

$$\eta_i \circ \gamma_i = \xi_{i,M} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_i \circ \eta_i = \varepsilon_{i,\Theta_i(M)}, \quad \forall i \in [1, n]. \tag{3.2}$$

A morphism from the object (γ, M, η) to the object (γ', M', η') is the set $f = \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ where f_i is in $Mor_{\mathcal{A}}(M, M'), i \in [1, n]$, such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{\gamma_i} & \Theta_i(M) & \xrightarrow{\eta_i} & M \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_i} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Theta_i(f_i)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_i} \\ M' & \xrightarrow{\gamma'_i} & \Theta_i(M') & \xrightarrow{\eta'_i} & M'. \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

Remark 3.2. For every object M in $\mathcal{A}$, the object (γ, M, η) in the hyperbolic category $\mathcal{A}_n\{\Theta, \xi\}$, has unique sets of morphisms γ and η if and only if the identity morphism id_M in $\mathcal{A}$ is also a morphism in $\mathcal{A}_n\{\Theta, \xi\}$. In particular, in such case the object M in $\mathcal{A}$ will appear exactly once as $(-, M, -)$ in $\mathcal{A}_n\{\Theta, \xi\}$.

Example 3.3. Let $\mathrm{R}_1\{\theta, \xi\}$ be a generalized Weyl algebra with indeterminants x and y. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the full subcategory of the category $\mathrm{R} - mod$ with objects

are $\mathrm{R}_1\{\theta,\xi\}$-modules, forgetting about the actions of x and y. The category $\mathrm{R}_1\{\theta,\xi\}$-modules is equivalent to a hyperbolic category $\mathcal{A}_1\{\Theta,\xi\}$ where $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is induced by the R-automorphism θ and for $M \in$ objets of $\mathcal{A}$, $\xi_M : M \longrightarrow M$ is given by $\xi_M(m) := \xi m$. Objects of $\mathcal{A}_1\{\Theta,\xi\}$ are the triples $(\overline{x}, M, \overline{y})$ where M is an object in $\mathcal{A}$, $\overline{x} : M \longrightarrow \theta(M)$ given by $\overline{x}(m) = xm$ and $\overline{y} : \theta(M) \longrightarrow M$ given by $\overline{y}(m) = ym$.

Example 3.4 (Trivial hyperbolic category). Let $R_n\{\xi,\theta\}$ be a generalized Weyl algebra. Consider the additive category $\mathcal{B}$ with only one object which is the ring R and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(R,R)$ is the underlying vector space of $R_n\{\xi,\theta\}$. One can form a hyperbolic category with one object which is $(\overline{x}, R, \overline{y})$, where $\overline{x} = \{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$ and $\overline{y} = \{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$.

3.2 Categorical preseeds

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category.

Definition 3.5 (Categorical preseeds)**.** A *categorical preseed* of rank n in $\mathcal{A}$ is the data $\mathcal{P} = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle)$ where

1. $\Theta = \{\Theta_1, \ldots, \Theta_n\}$ is a set of n auto-equivalences in $\mathcal{A}$;
2. $\xi = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ is set of n endomorphisms of the identical functor of $\mathcal{A}$;
3. $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ is the following category:

   Objects are pairs (M, f), where M is an object in $\mathcal{A}$ and $f = \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ is a set of n invertible elements of $Mor._{\mathcal{A}}(\Theta(M), M)$.

   Morphisms from (M, f) to (M', f') in $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ are the n-tuples $h = \{h_1, \ldots, h_n\}$ where $h_i \in Mor._{\mathcal{A}}(M, M'), i \in [1, n]$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta_i(M) & \xrightarrow{f_i} & M \\ {\scriptstyle \Theta_i(h_i)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_i} \\ \Theta_i(M') & \xrightarrow{f'_i} & M'. \end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

   Furthermore, if the set $\{\Theta_i; i \in [1, n]\}$ is a commutative set of functors and for every object M in $\mathcal{A}$, the set $\{\xi_{i,M}; i \in [1, n]\}$ is a set of commutative morphisms, then $\mathcal{P}$ is called *a hyperbolic categorical preseed* or for simplicity *HCPS*.

Remark 3.6. 1. Let $\mathcal{P} = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle)$ be a categorical preseed. Since ξ is a set of endomorphisms of $id_{\mathcal{A}}$, then for every object (M, f) in $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ we have

$$f_i \circ \xi_{i,\Theta_i(\Theta_{j_k}\ldots\Theta_{j_1}(M))} = \xi_{i,\Theta_{j_k}\ldots\Theta_{j_1}(M)} \circ f_i; \quad \forall i, j_k, \ldots, j_1 \in [1, n]. \tag{3.5}$$

2. The category $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$, defined in Definition 3.5, was introduced in [16] under the name of *skew Laurent category*. The objects of $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ will be called the skew Laurent objects of $\mathcal{P}$.

Example 3.7. Let R be an associative ring with an automorphism θ. Consider the ring $R[x, x^{-1}]$ of skew Laurent polynomials in x, subject to the relation

$$rx = x\theta(r) \text{ for every } r \in R.$$

The category $R[x, x^{-1}]$-mod is equivalent to the skew Laurent category $(R - mod)\langle\Theta\rangle$ where Θ is the automorphism functor of R-mod induced by θ. The objects of the category $(R - mod)\langle\Theta\rangle$ are the pairs $(M, \overline{x})$ where M is an object in R-mod and $\overline{x} : \Theta(M) \to M$ given by $\overline{x}(m) = xm$. Moreover, we can define a categorical preseed of rank 1 in the category R-mod as follows, let z be a nonunit element in the center of R, so for every M, an object of $R[x, x^{-1}]$-mod, let $\xi_M : M \to M$ be the module homomorphism given by $\xi(m) = zm$, for any m in M. One can see that the triple $\mathcal{P} = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle)$ is a categorical pressed of rank 1.

Example 3.8 (*Weyl categorical preseed*). Let $R_n\{\theta, \xi\}$ be a generalized Weyl algebra in the indeterminates $x_1, \ldots, x_n; y_1, \ldots, y_n$ with $\theta = \{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\} \subset Aut(R)$ and $\{z_1, \ldots, z_n\} \subset Z(R)$, as in Definition 2.1. Let $A = S^{-1}\mathrm{R}[y_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, y_n^{\pm 1}]$, where $S = \{z_i, \theta_i(z_i);\ i \in [1, n]\}$. Let $\mathfrak{A} = A - mod$, and $\mathcal{A} = \varphi_*(\mathfrak{A})$, where $\varphi_* : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathrm{R} - mod$, the functor that sends each object in $\mathfrak{A}$ to itself as an R-module forgetting the rest of the actions of A. Let $\mathcal{W} = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle)$ such that $\Theta = \{\Theta_1, \ldots, \Theta_n\}$ is a set of n $\mathcal{A}$-auto equivalences where $\Theta_i : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is induced by θ_i; and $\xi = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ is a set of n endomorphisms of the identity functor of $\mathcal{A}$ given by $\xi_{iW} : W \longrightarrow W$ where $\xi_{iW}(w) = z_i \cdot w, i \in [1, n]$, for any object W in $\mathcal{A}$.

Objects of $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ are pairs $(M, \overline{y})$ such that M is an object of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\overline{y} = \{\overline{y}_1, \ldots, \overline{y}_n\}$ where $\overline{y}_i : \Theta_i(M) \longrightarrow M$, $\overline{y}_i(m) = y_i \cdot m$ for $i \in [1, n]$ and morphisms of $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ are given by $Mor._{\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle}((M, \overline{y}), (M', \overline{y})) = Mor._{\mathfrak{A}}(M, M')$.

One can see that $\mathcal{W} = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle)$ is a hyperbolic categorical preseed of rank n in $\mathcal{A}$. That is, the commutativity of $\{\Theta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is due to the commutativity of $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^n$, and the commutativity of $\{\xi_i\}_1^n$ is because $\{z_1, \ldots, z_n\} \subset Z(R)$. The specific hyperbolic categorical pressed $\mathcal{W}$ is called Weyl categorical preseed.

3.3 Categorical mutations

The main purpose of this subsection is to introduce the *categorical mutations* which are a "functorial" versions of the preseeds mutations introduced in [18]. Categorical mutations involve creating a new skew Laurent category from a previous one and a functor between the two categories.

For any morphism h in $Mor._{\mathcal{A}}(W, W')$ we write $D(h) = W$ and $C(h) = W'$, where $D(h)$ stands for the domain of h and $C(h)$ is the codomain of h.

Let $\mathcal{P} = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle)$ be a categorical preseed of rank n in $\mathcal{A}$. In the following we introduce length one and length two sequences of categorical mutations before introducing the general rules of mutations.

Definition 3.9 (***Categorical mutations***). 1. **Categorical right mutations.**

(a) **First generation categorical right mutations.** For $k \in [1, n]$, the action of *categorical right mutation* μ_k^R on $\mathcal{P}$ is given by $\mu_k^R(\mathcal{P}) = (\widehat{\Theta}_{(k)}^{-1}, \xi, \mathcal{A}_{k^{(1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle)$, where

- $\widehat{\Theta}_{(k)}^{-1} = (\Theta_1, \ldots, \Theta_k^{-1}, \ldots, \Theta_n)$;
- Every object in $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ is a mutated object from $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ as follows

$(M, f) \in Obj.\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ if and only if $(\Theta_k(M), \mu_k^R(f_k)) \in Obj.\mathcal{A}_{k^{(1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$,

where

$$\mu_k^R(f) = (f_1, \ldots, f_{k-1}, \varepsilon_{k,D(f_k)} \circ f_k^{-1}, f_{k+1}, \ldots, f_n) \tag{3.6}$$

- The morphisms $\mathrm{Mor}._{\mathcal{A}_{k^{(1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle}((\Theta_k(M), \mu_k(f)), (\Theta_k(M'), \mu_k(f')))$ are given by $\Theta_k(\mathrm{Mor}._{\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle}((M, f), (M', f')))$ which is

$$\{\Theta_k(h); h \in \mathrm{Mor}._{\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle}((M, f), (M', f'))\}. \tag{3.7}$$

(b) **Second generation categorical right mutations.** The second mutation $\mu_{k^2}^R(\mathcal{P})$ alters $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ to the category $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(2)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ with objects given by

$$\big(M, (f_1, \ldots, f_{k-1}, \xi_{k,C(f_k)} \circ f_k \circ \varepsilon_{k,D(f_k)}^{-1}, f_{k+1}, \ldots, f_n)\big), \tag{3.8}$$

2. **Categorical left mutations.**

(a) **First generation categorical left mutation** $\mu_k^L(\mathcal{P})$ is given by $\mu_k^L(\mathcal{P}) = (\Theta^{-1}, \xi, \mathcal{A}_{k^{(-1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle)$, where

- Every object in $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(-1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ is a mutated object from $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ as follows

$(M, f) \in Obj.\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ if and only if $(\Theta_k(M), \mu_k^L(f_k)) \in Obj.\mathcal{A}_{k^{(-1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$,

where

$$\mu_k^L(f) = (f_1, \ldots, f_{k-1}, f_k^{-1} \circ \varepsilon_{k,C(f_k)}, f_{k+1}, \ldots, f_n). \tag{3.9}$$

- The morphisms of $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(-1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ are as given in (3.7).

(b) **Second generation categorical left mutations.** The second mutation $\mu_{k^2}^L(\mathcal{P})$ alters $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ to $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(-2)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ with objects given by

$$\big(M, (f_1, \ldots, f_{k-1}, \varepsilon_{k,C(f_k)}^{-1} \circ f_k \circ \xi_{k,D(f_k)}, f_{k+1}, \ldots, f_n)\big). \tag{3.10}$$

3. **General categorical mutations rules.** Let $\mathcal{S} = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}_{k^{(t)}}\langle\Theta\rangle)$ be a triple obtained from the initial categorical preseed $\mathcal{P}$ by applying some sequence of categorical mutations μ which contains exactly t copies of μ_k^R with $t \geq 2$. Applying another μ_k^R on $\mathcal{S}$ is governed by the following rules

(a) ξ is frozen.

(b) Θ is altered by replacing it with $\widehat{\Theta}_{(k)}$.

(c) The category $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(t)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ will be replaced by $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(t+1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$.

- Let $(W, \nu_{k^{(t)}})$ be a generic object of $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(t)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ where $\nu_{k^{(t)}} = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k)$. Then a random object $(\mu_k(W), \nu_{k^{(t+1)}})$ of $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(t+1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ is given as follows

$$\begin{cases} (\Theta_k(W), \nu_{k^{(t+1)}}), \text{with} \\ \nu_{k^{(t+1)}} = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{k-1}, \varepsilon_{k,D(\nu_k)} \circ \nu_k^{-1}, \nu_{k+1}, \ldots, \nu_n), & \text{if } t \text{ is even}, \\ (W, \nu_{k^{(t+1)}}), \text{with} \\ \nu_{k^{(t+1)}} = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{k-1}, \xi_{k,C(\nu_k)} \circ \nu_k^{-1}, \nu_{k+1}, \ldots, \nu_n), & \text{if } t \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

- Morphisms $Mor._{\mathcal{A}_{k^{(t+1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle}((W, \nu_{k^{(t)}}), (W', \nu'^R_{k^{(t)}})$ are given by

$$\begin{cases} \Theta(Mor._{\mathcal{A}_{k^{(t)}}\langle\Theta\rangle}((W, \nu_{k^{(t)}}^R), (W', \nu'^R_{k^{(t)}})), & \text{if } t \text{ is even}, \\ Mor._{\mathcal{A}_{k^{(t)}}\langle\Theta\rangle}((W, \nu_{k^{(t)}}^R), (W', \nu'^R_{k^{(t)}})), & \text{if } t \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

(d) Let $\mathcal{S} = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}_{k^{(-t)}}\langle\Theta\rangle)$ be triple obtained from $\mathcal{P}$ by applying some sequence of categorical mutations μ which contains exactly t copies of μ_k^L with $t \geq 2$. The categorical left mutations rules for ξ and Θ are the same as in the right mutations. The left categorical mutations of $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(-t)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ with objects are the pairs $(W, \nu_{k^{(-t)}})$ such that $\nu_{k^{(-t)}} = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n)$ is replacing it with $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(-t-1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ with objects given by

$$\begin{cases} (\Theta_k(W), \nu_{k^{(-t-1)}}), \text{with} \\ \nu_{k^{(-t-1)}} = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{k-1}, \nu_k^{-1} \circ \varepsilon_{k,C(\nu_k)}, \nu_{k+1}, \ldots, \nu_n), & \text{if } t \text{ is even}, \\ (W, \nu_{k^{(-t-1)}}), \text{with} \\ \nu_{k^{(-t-1)}} = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{k-1}, \nu_k^{-1} \circ \xi_{k,D(\nu_k)}, \nu_{k+1}, \ldots, \nu_n), & \text{if } t \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

The morphisms of $A_{k^{(-t-1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ are defined the same way as the morphisms of $A_{k^{(t+1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ in (3.12).

Remark 3.10. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a hyperbolic categorical preseed. Then for every $i, j \in [1, n]$ we have

$$\mu_i^R \mu_j^R(\mathcal{S}) = \mu_j^R \mu_i^R(\mathcal{S}), \mu_i^L \mu_j^L(\mathcal{S}) = \mu_j^L \mu_i^L(\mathcal{S}) \text{ and } \mu_i^L \mu_j^R(\mathcal{S}) = \mu_j^R \mu_i^L(\mathcal{S}). \tag{3.14}$$

Example 3.11 (Categorical mutations of Weyl categorical preseed $\mathcal{W}$). In this example we give more precise forms for the objects of the categories $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(t)}}\langle\Theta\rangle, k \in [1, n], t \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the Weyl categorical preseed $\mathcal{W}$ given in Example 3.8. For every $M \in Obj.(\mathcal{A})$, let $\varepsilon_{i,M} : M \to M$ be an endomorphism of the identical functor of $\mathcal{A}$ induced by the element $\epsilon_i = \theta_i^{-1}(\xi_i) \in R_n$ where $\varepsilon_{i,M}(m) = \epsilon_i(m), i \in [1, n]$. Let $(M, \overline{y})$ be some (generic) object in $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ and let $A_{k^{(t)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ be a skew Laurent category obtained from the initial skew Laurent category $A\langle\Theta\rangle$ of $\mathcal{W}$ by applying the sequence of categorical right mutations $\overbrace{\mu_k^R \cdots \mu_k^R}^{\text{t-times}}$. The objects of $A_{k^{(t)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ are of the form $(M, \overline{y}_{k^{(t)}})$, $y_{k^{(t)}} = (\overline{y}_1, \ldots, \overline{y}_{k-1}, \overline{y}_{k^t}, \overline{y}_{k+1}, \ldots, \overline{y}_n)$, where $\overline{y}_{k^t}$ is one of the following cases

$$\begin{cases} \overline{y}_{k^t} \in Obj_{\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle}(\Theta(M), M); \;\; \overline{y}_{k^t}(m) = \xi_k^{\frac{t}{2}} y_k \xi_k^{\frac{-t}{2}}(m), & \text{if } t \text{ is even}, \\ \overline{y}_{k^t} \in Obj_{\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle}(M, \Theta(M)); \;\; \overline{y}_{k^t}(m) = \xi_k^{\frac{t+1}{2}} y_k^{-1} \xi_k^{-\frac{(t-1)}{2}}(m), & \text{if } t \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

Let $A_{k^{(-t)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ be a skew Laurent category obtained from $A\langle\Theta\rangle$ of $\mathcal{W}$ by applying the sequence of categorical left mutations $\overbrace{\mu_k^L \cdots \mu_k^L}^{\text{t-times}}$. The objects of $A_{k^{(-t)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ are of the form $(M, \overline{y}_{k^{(-t)}})$, where $y_{k^{(t)}} = (\overline{y}_1, \ldots, \overline{y}_{k-1}, \overline{y}_{k^{-t}}, \overline{y}_{k+1}, \ldots, \overline{y}_n)$, where $\overline{y}_{k^t}$ is one of the following cases

$$\begin{cases} \overline{y}_{k^{-t}} \in Obj_{\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle}(\Theta(M), M); \;\; \overline{y}_{k^{-t}}(m) = \xi_k^{\frac{-t}{2}} y_k \xi_k^{\frac{t}{2}}(m), & \text{if } t \text{ is even}, \\ \overline{y}_{k^{-t}} \in Obj_{\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle}(M, \Theta(M)); \;\; \overline{y}_{k^{-t}}(m) = \xi_k^{-\frac{t-1}{2}} y_k^{-1} \xi_k^{\frac{t+1}{2}}(m), & \text{if } t \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{P} = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle)$ be categorical preseed of rank n in $\mathcal{A}$. Then the following are true*

(1) For every sequence of categorical right mutations $\mu_{j_1}^R \cdots \mu_{j_t}^R$ (respect to $\mu_{j_1}^L \cdots \mu_{j_t}^L(\mathcal{P})$), we have $\mu_{j_1}^R \cdots \mu_{j_t}^R(\mathcal{P})$ is again a categorical preseed;

(2) For every $k \in [1, n]$, we have $\mu_k^R \mu_k^L(\mathcal{P}) = \mu_k^L \mu_k^R(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}$;

(3) The categorical preseed $\mathcal{P}$ along with the categorical preseeds $\mu_1^R(\mathcal{P}), \ldots, \mu_n^R(\mathcal{P})$ give rise to a hyperbolic category (respect to $\mu_1^L(\mathcal{P}), \ldots, \mu_n^L(\mathcal{P})$). This hyperbolic category will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{P})$ (respect to $\mathcal{H}^L(\mathcal{P})$).

Proof. (1) In the following we prove Part (1) for categorical right mutations and for the categorical left mutations, the proof is similar with the obvious changes. One can see that Remark 3.10 reduces the proof of (1) into proving it only for the case $j_i = \ldots = j_t = k$, for some $k \in [1, n]$. We start by proving Part (1) for sequences of mutations of lengths one and two, and the proof for sequences of mutations with bigger lengths is quite similar. Now, we show that $\mu_k^R(\mathcal{P})$ is again a categorical preseed. The main part is to prove that $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ satisfies the conditions of the skew Laurent category for the triple $(\Theta^{-1}, \xi, \mathcal{A}_{k^{(1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle)$. One can see that if $(M, f) \in Obj.\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ then $\varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M)} \circ f_k^{-1} \in Mor_{\mathcal{A}}(\Theta_k^{-1}(\Theta_k(M)), \Theta_k(M))$. It remains to show (3.4) for objects and morphisms of $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(1)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$. Let h be a morphism in $Mor_{\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle}((M, f), (M', f'))$. Then Diagram (3.4) for $\mathcal{P}$ tells us that $\Theta_k(h_k) \circ f_k^{-1} = f_k'^{-1} \circ h_k, k \in [1, n]$. Therefore the following consecutive identities are satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M')} \circ (\Theta_k(h_k) \circ f_k^{-1}) &= \varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M')} \circ (f_k'^{-1} \circ h_k) \\ \Theta_k(h_k) \circ (\varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M)} \circ f_k^{-1}) &= (\varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M')} \circ f_k'^{-1}) \circ h_k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Equation (3.17) above is due to the fact that $\varepsilon_{k,-}$ being an endomorphism of the identical functor, thanks to (3.5) and the fact that ξ_k is an endomorphism of the identical functor for every $k \in [1, n]$. Also, equation (3.17) says that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta_k(M) & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon_{k\Theta(M)} \circ f_k^{-1}} & M \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Theta_k(h)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} \\ \Theta_k(M') & \xleftarrow[\varepsilon_{k\Theta(M')} \circ f_k'^{-1}]{} & M' \end{array}$$

which is equivalent to the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta_k(M) & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M)}\circ f_k^{-1}} & \Theta_k^{-1}(\Theta_k(M)) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Theta_k(h)} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Theta^{-1}(\Theta_k(h))} \\ \Theta_k(M') & \xleftarrow[\varepsilon_{k\Theta_k(M')}\circ f_k'^{-1}]{} & \Theta_k^{-1}(\Theta_k(M')) \end{array}$$

which means

$$\Theta(h) \in Mor_{\mathcal{A}_k\langle\Theta\rangle}((\Theta(M), \varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M)} \circ f_k^{-1}), (\Theta(M'), \varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M')} \circ f_k'^{-1})).$$

For right mutations of length two, altering $\mu_k^R(\mathcal{P})$ by mutation in k-direction, we get $\mu_k^R\mu_k^R(\mathcal{P}) = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}_{k^{(2)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$, where objects of $\mathcal{A}_{k^{(2)}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ are given by the pairs $(M, \xi_{k,M}\circ(f_k\circ\varepsilon_{k,\Theta_k(M)}^{-1}))$. To prove that $(\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}_{k^{(2)}}\langle\Theta\rangle)$ is again a categorical preseed we need to prove (3.4) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} h \circ (\xi_{k,M} \circ (f_k \circ \varepsilon_{k,\Theta_k(M')}^{-1})) &= \xi_{k,M'} \circ h \circ (f_k \circ \varepsilon_{k,\Theta_k(M)}^{-1}) \\ &= \xi_{k,M'} \circ f_k' \circ \Theta_k(h) \circ \varepsilon_{k,\Theta_k(M)}^{-1} \\ &= (\xi_{k,M'} \circ f_k' \circ \varepsilon_{k,\Theta_k(M')}^{-1}) \circ \Theta_k(h). \end{aligned}$$

In the first equation we used that ξ_k is an endomorphism of the identical functor of $\mathcal{A}$, in the second equation we used that $h \in hom_{A\langle\Theta\rangle}(M, M')$ and the last equation is due to ε_k is also an endomorphism of the identical functor of $\mathcal{A}$.

(2) To prove second part, we only need to show the equivalency of the two categories $\mu_k^R(\mu_k^L(\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle))$ and $\mu_k^L(\mu_k^R(\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle))$. Actually, one can see that the category $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ will be reproduced by applying $\mu_k^R\mu_k^L$ or $\mu_k^L\mu_k^R$, which is straightforward to prove.

(3) To prove Part (3) for the categorical preseeds $\mathcal{P}, \mu_1^R(\mathcal{P}), \ldots, \mu_n^R(\mathcal{P})$, we introduce the category $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{P})$ with objects are the triples (γ, M, f), where $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$ with $\gamma_k = \varepsilon_{k,\Theta_k(M)} \circ f_k^{-1}$, where (M, f) is an object of $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$. The morphisms of $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{P})$ are given by

$$Mor._{\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{P})}((\gamma, M, f), (\gamma', M', \eta')) = Mor._{\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle}((M, f), (M', f')).$$

In the following we verify the conditions of the hyperbolic category. Starting with (3.2), for every $k \in [1, n]$ we have

$$\gamma_k \circ f_k = \varepsilon_{k,D(f_k)} \circ f_k^{-1} \circ f_k = \varepsilon_{k,D(f_k)},$$

and

$$f_k \circ \gamma_k = f_k \circ \xi_{k,D(f_k)} \circ \eta_k^{-1} = f_k \circ f_k^{-1} \circ \xi_{k,C(f_k)} = \xi_{k,C(f_k)}.$$

To prove (3.3), let $h \in Mor._{\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle}((M,f),(M',f'))$. Then $h \circ f_k = f'_k \circ \Theta_k(h)$ for every $k \in [1,n]$. Also

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(h) \circ (\varepsilon_{k,D(f_k)} \circ f_k^{-1}) &= \Theta(h) \circ f_k^{-1} \circ \varepsilon_{k,C(f_k)} \\
&= f_k'^{-1} \circ h \circ \varepsilon_{k,C(f_k)} \\
&= f_k'^{-1} \circ \varepsilon_{k,C(h)} \circ h \\
&= f_k'^{-1} \circ \varepsilon_{k,C(f')} \circ h \\
&= (\varepsilon_{k,D(f')} \circ f_k'^{-1}) \circ h.
\end{aligned}$$

The definition of $\mathcal{H}^L(\mathcal{P})$ and the proof of its being hyperbolic category is quite similar to the proof of $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{P})$ with the obvious changes. □

Corollary 3.13. *For every two mutationally equivalent categorical preseeds $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ there exist a unique sequence of categorical mutations μ such that $\mathcal{S} = \mu(\mathcal{P})$.*

Proof. We start by proving the following statement: Every mixed sequence of categorical right and left mutations $\mu = \mu_{i_1} \cdots \mu_{i_k}$ can reduced into a smaller or equal length sequence of categorical mutations of the form $\mu^R\mu^L$ or equivalently $\mu^L\mu^R$ where

$$\mu^R = (\mu^R_{i_{t_1}})^{n_1} \cdots (\mu^R_{i_{t_q}})^{n_q} \text{ and } \mu^L = (\mu^L_{i_{d_1}})^{n'_1} \cdots (\mu^L_{i_{d_{q'}}})^{n'_{q'}}, \tag{3.18}$$

with i_{t_j}, i_{d_j}, q and $q' \in [1,n], n_j, n'_j \in \mathbb{N}$ for $j \in [1, max(q,q')]$ such that $\{i_{t_1}, \cdots, i_{t_q}\} \cap \{i_{d_1}, \cdots, i_{d_{q'}}\} = \emptyset$. We use (3.14) along with Part (2) of Lemma 3.12 to rewrite every sequence of mixed categorical right and left mutations as $\mu^R\mu^L$ or $\mu^L\mu^R$ where μ^R is a sequence of only categorical right mutations and μ^L is a sequence of only categorical left mutations as given in (3.18). One can see that the statement of the corollary is true for any two categorical preseeds of rank 1. And since every sequence of categorical mutations μ can be written as the product $\mu^R\mu^L$ such that μ^R and μ^L are as given in (3.18), where each sequence of categorical mutations is written as a product of independent sequences of categorical mutations each one is in one direction. Where the independency of these sequences is guaranteed from the definition of categorical mutations, Definition 3.9. Each one of theses sequences connects one component in $\mathcal{P}$ to same-index component in $\mathcal{S}$ which can be seen as a sequence between two rank 1 categorical preseeds. So each subsequence $(\mu^R_{i_{t_1}})^{n_i}$ and $(\mu^L_{i_{t_1}})^{n_i}$ is unique thus μ must be unique. □

If a categorical preseed $\mathcal{S}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{P}$ by applying some sequence of categorical mutations, that is $\mathcal{S} = \mu^R(\mu^L(\mathcal{P}))$ or $\mathcal{S} = \mu^L(\mu^R(\mathcal{P}))$ where μ^R and μ^L are as given in (3.18), then $\mathcal{S}$ (respect to the skew Laurent objects of $\mathcal{S}$) and $\mathcal{P}$ (respect to the skew Laurent objects of $\mathcal{P}$) are said to be *mutationally equivalent*.

In this case, we define the hyperbolic categories $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{H}^L(\mathcal{S})$ of $\mathcal{S}$ by the same way as it was defined in the Proof of Part (3) of Lemma 3.12. The category $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{S})$ (respect to $\mathcal{H}^L(\mathcal{S})$) will be called the right (respect to left) hyperbolic category of $\mathcal{S}$. Here is a more precise definition of $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{S})$ and the definition of $\mathcal{H}^L(\mathcal{S})$ is similar with the obvious changes. Objects of $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{S})$ are given as follows, if $(M, (g_1, \ldots, g_n))$ is a typical object in the skew Laurent category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ of $\mathcal{S}$ then $(\mu_{1^1(g_1)}, \ldots, \mu_{n^1(g_1)}(g_n), M, (g_1, \ldots, g_n))$ is an object in $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{S})$. The morphisms are given by

$$Hom_{\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{S})}((g', M, g), (h', M', h)) = Hom_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}}\langle\Theta\rangle}((M, g), (M', h)). \tag{3.19}$$

Definitions 3.14. 1. The triple (γ, M, η) is called a *hyperbolic object* of the categorical preseed $\mathcal{P}$ if it is an object in the following set

$$H(\mathcal{S}) = Obj.\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{S}) \cup Obj.\mathcal{H}^L(\mathcal{S}),$$

for some categorical preseed $\mathcal{S}$ that is mutationally equivalent to $\mathcal{P}$.

2. A *mutation hyperbolic category* $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})$ over a categorical preseed $\mathcal{P}$ is a category with set of objects consists of hyperbolic objects of $\mathcal{P}$. The morphisms are given as follows for any two hyperbolic objects (f', M, f) and (g', W, g) of $\mathcal{P}$, if (f', M, f) is a hyperbolic object in $H(\mathcal{S})$ for some categorical preseed $\mathcal{S}$ that is mutationally equivalent to $\mathcal{P}$. Then we have

$$Hom_{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})}((f', M, f), (g', W, g)) = \mu(Hom_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}\langle\Theta\rangle}((M, f), (M', h))). \tag{3.20}$$

Where μ is the unique sequence of categorical mutations such that $\mathcal{S} = \mu(\mathcal{P})$ and (M', h) is the object in the skew Laurent category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ of $\mathcal{P}$ where $(W, g) = \mu(M', h)$.

3. A mutation hyperbolic category $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$ is called a *clustered hyperbolic category* of the categorical preseed $\mathcal{P}$ if for every object (f', M, f) in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$ the pair (M, f) is an object in one and only one skew Laurent category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}}\langle\Theta\rangle$ for some categorical pressed $\mathcal{S}$ that is mutationally equivalent to $\mathcal{P}$.

Remark 3.15. With the setting of Definitions 3.14 the categories $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{H}^L(\mathcal{S})$ are full subcategories of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})$.

One of the main goals of this paper is, providing a general technique to construct a full subcategory of every mutation hyperbolic category, which is a clustered hyperbolic category.

We need to introduce the following equivalence relation $\sim$ on the set of all hyperbolic objects of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})$. Let (γ, M, η) and (γ', M', η') be two hyperbolic objects of some initial categorical preseed $\mathcal{P}$. We introduce the relation $\sim$ as follows:

$$(\gamma, M, \eta) \sim (\gamma', M', \eta') \text{ if } (M, \eta) \text{ and } (M', \eta') \text{ are mutationally equivalent} \tag{3.21}$$

Remark and Definition 3.16. 1. The relation $\sim$ defined in (3.19) is an equivalence relation on the set of all hyperbolic objects of the initial categorical preseed $\mathcal{P}$. The equivalence class of a hyperbolic object (γ, M, η) is denoted by $[(M, \eta)]$ and will be called the *cluster class* of (γ, M, η). The *hyperbolic class* of (γ, M, η) at the categorical preseed $\mathcal{S}$ is given by $\langle (M, \eta) \rangle (\mathcal{S}) = \{(h', M, h) \in [(M, \eta)]; (M, h) \text{ is an object in } \mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle \text{ of } \mathcal{S}\}$.

2. If the skew Laurent category $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ of the initial categorical preseed $\mathcal{P}$ contains no mutationally equivalent objects then each hyperbolic class $\langle (M, \eta) \rangle (\mathcal{S})$ contains exactly two elements for every categorical preseed $\mathcal{S}$ mutationally equivalent $\mathcal{P}$.

Remark 3.17. 1. Let M be an object in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ be a categorical preseed mutationally equivalent to $\mathcal{P}$. Then we have

$$\langle (M, \eta) \rangle (\mathcal{S}) = [(M, \eta)] \cap (Obj.\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{S}) \cup Obj.\mathcal{H}^L(\mathcal{S})) \tag{3.22}$$

2. A mutation hyperbolic category is a clustered hyperbolic category if every hyperbolic class contains exactly two elements.

Definitions 3.18. (Zigzag presentations of cluster classes)

(1) Let $h = (\gamma, M, \eta)$ and $h' = (\gamma', M', \eta')$ be two hyperbolic objects. We say that h divides h' at $k \in [1, n]$, in symbols it shall be written as $h|_k h'$, if either of η_k or η_k^{-1} divides η'_k; that is η'_k can be written as a composition of morphisms in the form $g\eta_k h$ or $g\eta_k^{-1} h$, for some morphisms g and h. In particular if $h|_k h'$ and $h' = \mu_k^L(h)$ or $h' = \mu_k^R(h)$ we simply use the following notations respectively



(2)

- A hyperbolic object $h_0 = (\gamma_0, M_0, \eta_0)$ is called an *initial object* of the hyperbolic class $[(M, \eta)]$ if for every two hyperbolic objects h' and h'' that can be obtained from h_0 by applying a single left and right categorical mutations respectively we have $h_0|_k h'$ and $h_0|_k h''$, which can be presented by



for every $k \in [1, n]$.

- If $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ are initial hyperbolic objects of the hyperbolic class $[(M, \eta)]$ with the following presentations



Then we say that $[(M, \eta)]$ has a *zigzag presentation* of length n and hight one. The initial objects h_1 and h_n are called the left and right initial objects of $[(M, \eta)]$ respectively.

- A morphism η is called a *core morphism* at $k \in [1, n]$ if it is not divisible by any of the morphisms $\varepsilon_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \xi_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}$ or $\xi_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}$. A skew Laurent object $h = (M, (\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n))$ is called a core object if each of η_k is a core morphism for every $k \in [1, n]$.

Example 3.19. Consider the following skew Laurent object $(M, \xi_M^{-1}\eta)$ where the morphism η is a core morphism. Applying mutations on $\xi_M^{-1}\eta$, the cluster class of $(M, \xi_M^{-1}\eta)$ creates the following graph with a zigzag of length two and hight one



The initial hyperbolic objects are $(M, \xi_M^{-1}\eta)$ and $(M, \eta\varepsilon_M^{-1})$.

Proposition 3.20. *Let h and h' be two hyperbolic objects in the same cluster class. If $h|_k h'$ then there is no initial hyperbolic objects in between them. In other words if $\mu^R_{k^t}(h) = h'$ then non of the hyperbolic objects $\mu^R_{k^d}(h), 1 \leq d < t$ is initial. In particular if h is a core object then the zigzag presentation of $[(M, h)]$ is an open up cone with bottom at h.*

Proof. We start with the case when h is not a core morphism and we will prove it by mathematical induction on the length of d. If h is not core morphism then it must be divisible by some of the morphisms $\varepsilon^{\pm 1}_{k,M}, \xi^{\pm 1}_{k,M}, \varepsilon^{\pm 1}_{k,\Theta(M)}$ or $\xi^{\pm 1}_{k,\Theta(M)}$. If $d = 1$ then no thing to prove. Assume that $d = 2$ and let $h'' = \mu^R_k(h)$. Then if h'' is an initial object then it divides h at k which means that the categorical mutation μ^R_k must have canceled one of the morphisms $\varepsilon^{\pm 1}_{k,M}, \xi^{\pm 1}_{k,M}, \varepsilon^{\pm 1}_{k,\Theta(M)}$ or $\xi^{\pm 1}_{k,\Theta(M)}$ that appears in the expression of h'', assume, without loss of generality, that the canceled morphism is one of $\xi^{\pm 1}_{k,\Theta(M)}$ or $\xi^{\pm 1}_{k,\Theta(M)}$. By definition of categorical mutation it alternates between multiplying by the morphisms ξ and ε, then applying the categorical right mutation again on h'' we will obtain a morphism with one of the expressions $\varepsilon^{\pm 1}_{k,M}(h'')^{\pm 1}$ or $\varepsilon^{\pm 1}_{k,\Theta(M)}(h'')^{\pm 1}$. But the generated element should equal h' which contradicts with the fact that $h|_k h'$. Suppose that the statement is true for $d = q$, i.e., non of the hyperbolic objects $\mu^R_{k^t}(h)$ is initial object for $1 < t \leq q$ then using the same argument we used in the induction step at $d = 2$, we must have $\mu^R_{k^t}(h)|_k \mu^R_{k^{t+1}}(h)$, $1 < t \leq q$, $q > 2$. Then applying categorical right mutations accumulates the morphisms $\varepsilon^{\pm 1}_{k,M}, \xi^{\pm 1}_{k,M}, \varepsilon^{\pm 1}_{k,\Theta(M)}$ and $\xi^{\pm 1}_{k,\Theta(M)}$ around the core morphism of h and never cancel any of them, which means $\mu^R_{k^q}(h)$ has one of the forms $\xi^q h \varepsilon^{-(q-1)}$ or $\varepsilon^q h \xi^{-(q-1)}$. Thus applying categorical right mutation one more time will not produce any smaller form that can divide either of $\xi^q h \varepsilon^{-(q-1)}$ or $\varepsilon^q h \xi^{-(q-1)}$ which means it is not possible to produce an initial object using $\mu^R_{k^{q+j}}$ for $j > 1$. If h is a core morphism then every categorical mutations step applied to h will result in multiplying h^{-1} or h by one of the morphisms $\varepsilon^{\pm 1}_{k,M}, \xi^{\pm 1}_{k,M}, \varepsilon^{\pm 1}_{k,\Theta(M)}$ or $\xi^{\pm 1}_{k,\Theta(M)}$ which gives us the following relations $\mu_{k^t}(h)|_k \mu_{k^{t+1}}(h), t \in [1, d-1]$, therefore non of $\mu_{k^t}(h), t \in [1, d-1]$ can be an initial object. To show the last part of the statement, the same argument can be used to prove that if h_k is a core morphism then non of the hyperbolic objects $\mu^L_{k^d}(h)$ for any $1 \leq d$ is initial. Then the zigzag presentation is an open up two dimensional cone with bottom at h_k for every $k \in [1, n]$. Then the zigzag presentation of $[(M, h)]$ is a cone with bottom at h.

□

Lemma 3.21. *Every cluster class $[(M, \eta)]$ has a zigzag presentation of a finite length and of a hight one. In particular we have*

(1) Initial objects are always exist;

(2) Number of initial hyperbolic objects is finite for every cluster class;

(3) The hight of any zigzag presentation is at most one.

Proof. The proof is divided into three steps

(1) We will prove this part by proving that, every hyperbolic object is divisible by some initial object. Let $h = ((h'_1, \dots, h'_n), W, (h_1, \dots, h_n))$ where $W \in \{M, \Theta(M)\}$ be a hyperbolic object in $[(M, \eta)]$. If h is not an initial hyperbolic object of $[(M, \eta)]$ then there exists a hyperbolic object $g_1^k = ((g'_{1,1}, \dots, g'_{1,n}), W_1, (g_{1,1}, \dots, g_{1,n}))$ such that $g_k^k|_k h$ for some $k \in [1, n]$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $h = \mu_k^R(g_1^k)$. Thus h_k can be written in the form $h_k = \alpha_{1,k} g_{1,k}^{\pm 1}$ where $\alpha_{1,k} \in \{\varepsilon_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \xi_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}, \xi_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}\}$. If g_1^k is an initial hyperbolic object then the proof of existence is finished. Assume that g_1^k is not initial hyperbolic object. Then there is $g_2^k = ((g'_{2,1}, \dots, g'_{2,n}), W_2, (g_{2,1}, \dots, g_{2,n}))$ such that $g_2^k|_k g_1^k$, so $g_{1,k} = \alpha_{2,k} g_{2,k}^{\pm 1}$ for some $\alpha_{2,k} \in \{\varepsilon_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \xi_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}, \xi_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}\}$. Again, without loss of generality, we can assume that $h = \mu_{k^2}^R(g_{2,k})$. We can continuo in this process for only finite number of steps because h must be at most a finite product, so the length of this process is proportional to the multiplicity of $\xi_k^{\pm 1}$ and $\varepsilon_k^{\pm 1}$ dividing h_k. Therefore we obtain a sequence of morphisms $g_{1,k}, \dots, g_{d_k,k}$ such that $g_{j+1,k}|_k g_{j,k}, j \in \{1, \dots, d_k\}$. Since k was a random element from $[1, n]$ so we can apply the same process on each morphism $h_1, \dots, h_n$, we will end up to the hyperbolic object $h_0 = ((g'_{d_1,1}, \dots, g'_{d_n,n}), M, (g_{d_1,1}, \dots, g_{d_n,n}))$. To show that h_0 is initial object, let $l = ((l'_1, \dots, l'_n), W, (l_1, \dots, l_n))$ be a hyperbolic object such that $l = \mu_k^L(h_0)$ for some $k \in [1, n]$. If h_0 is a core object then we must have $h_0|_k l$. If h_0 is not a core object and $l|_k h_0$, so since $\mu_k^R(l) = h_0$ then l must be one of $g_{1,k}, \dots, g_{d_k,k}$ which contradicts with the fact that h_0 is not divisible by any hyperbolic object that generates it by a single categorical right mutation.

(2) We begin by explaining how the non core initial morphisms occur, let h be an initial object that is not a core. Then h divides both of $h' = \mu_k^L(h)$ and $h'' = \mu_k^R(h)$ which means that μ_k^R reduces the multiplicity of one of the morphisms $\{\varepsilon_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \xi_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}, \xi_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}\}$ that divides h'. Then applying μ_k^R on h results in increasing the multiplicity of another morphisms from the set $\{\varepsilon_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \xi_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}, \xi_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}\}$. The number of repetition of this process depends on the sum of the multiplicities of the morphisms $\varepsilon_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \xi_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}$ and $\xi_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}$ dividing h' which is a finite number. Which means number of initial objects must be finite.

(3) Suppose that $[(M,h)]$ be cluster class with zigzag presentation of hight bigger than one, without loss of generality assume that the hight is two. Let h, h_1, h_2 and h_3 be connected hyperbolic objects such that both of h and h_3 are initial objects. Since $h|_k h_1$ and $h_1 = \mu_k^R(h)$ the multiplicity of one of the morphisms $\{\varepsilon_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \xi_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}, \xi_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}\}$ has increased by one. Now applying μ_k^R one more time will result in increasing of the multiplicity of another morphism. Hence by definition of categorical right mutation we must have h_2 has one of the following forms $\xi_{k,-} h \varepsilon_{k,-}^{-1}$ or $\varepsilon_{k,-} h \xi_{k,-}^{-1}$ which means h_3 has one of the expressions $\varepsilon_{k,-}^2 h^{-1} \xi_{k,-}^{-1}$ or $\xi_{k,-}^2 h^{-1} \varepsilon_{k,-}^{-1}$. In both h_2 and h_3 the multiplicities of the morphisms $\{\varepsilon_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \xi_{k,M}^{\pm 1}, \varepsilon_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}, \xi_{k,\Theta(M)}^{\pm 1}\}$ can not be reduced any more. Therefore h_3 does not divide h_2 at k which means h_3 can not be initial object.

□

Corollary 3.22. *The Proof of Lemma 3.21 provides a technique to identify the right (respect to left) end initial object of any cluster class.*

Proof. Let h be an element of some hyperbolic class. Then following the proof of Part (1) of Lemma 3.21 leads us to a (unique) initial hyperbolic object, that divides h, let's call it h'. If there are some hyperbolic objects sandwiched between h and h', such that there is a natural number m so that $h'|_k \mu_k^R(h')|_k \mu_{k^2}^R(h')|_k \dots \mu_{k^m}^R(h')|_k h$ (respect to categorical left mutations) hence h' is the right (respect to left) end initial hyperbolic object we looking for, thanks to Part (3) of Lemma 3.21. If there is no any objects sandwiched between h and h', then we have two cases: first case if $h|_k \mu_k^R(h)$ (respect to categorical left mutations) hence again using Part (3) of Lemma 3.21 we have h' is the right (respect to left) end initial object. The second case is when $\mu_k^R(h)|_k h$, then $\mu_k^R(h)$ (respect to categorical left mutations) is also an initial object, again thanks to Part (3) of Lemma 3.21. Then we keep applying right categorical mutations until we obtain an object that finishes the right (respect to left) side of the zigzag, in other words until we obtain an object g such that $g|_k \mu_k^R(g)|_k \mu_{k^2}^R(g)$ (respect to categorical left mutations) and in this case g is the right (respect to left) end initial object. This process is finite due to Part (2) of Lemma 3.21. □

Lemma 3.23. *Every mutation category $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})$ contains a full subcategory that is a clustered hyperbolic category.*

Proof. Fix $\mathcal{P} = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle)$ to be initial categorical preseed of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})$. Let $\mathcal{A}_0\langle\Theta\rangle$ be the the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ with objects given by:
(M,η) is an object in $\mathcal{A}_0\langle\Theta\rangle$ if and only if $(\mu(\eta), M, \eta)$ is a right end initial object of the cluster class that contains $(\mu(\eta), M, \eta)$. Consider the categorical pressed $\mathcal{P}_0 = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}_0\langle\Theta\rangle)$. Now we introduce the category $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$ to be the

category with objects are all the hyperbolic objects of $\mathcal{P}_0$, and morphisms are given as follows:

Let (γ, M, η) and (γ', M', η') be two hyperbolic objects in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$. Then there are two categorical preseeds $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}'$ mutationally equivalent to $\mathcal{P}_0$ such that (γ, M, η) is an object of $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{S})$ or $\mathcal{H}^L(\mathcal{S})$ and (γ', M', η') is an the object of $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{S}')$ or $\mathcal{H}^L(\mathcal{S}')$ respectively. Let (W, β) be an object in the skew Laurent category $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle(\mathcal{S})$ of $\mathcal{S}$ where (M', η') is obtained from (W, β) by applying some sequence of mutations μ. Then we have

$$Mor._{\mathfrak{C}(P)}((\gamma, M, \eta), (\gamma', M', \eta')) = \mu(Mor._{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})}((M, \eta), (W, \beta))). \tag{3.23}$$

We will prove that $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$ is a clustered hyperbolic category is due to the following Lemma □

Lemma 3.24. *For every hyperbolic object (γ, M, η) in the category $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$ there exists a unique categorical preseed $\mathcal{S}$, mutationally equivalent to the initial categorical preseed $\mathcal{P}_0$, such that (γ, M, η) is an object in one of the the hyperbolic categories $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathcal{S})$ or $\mathcal{H}^L(\mathcal{S})$.*

Proof. Let $H(\mathcal{S}) = Obj.H^R(\mathcal{S}) \cup Obj.H^L(\mathcal{S})$. Suppose that there are two different categorical preseeds $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}'$ such that

$$(\gamma, M, \eta) \in H(S) \cap H(\mathcal{S}'). \tag{3.24}$$

Then we have two cases

Case 1 Since the hyperbolic object (γ, M, η) belongs to two hyperbolic categories of two different categorical preseeds, then it can be tracked back to two different initial objects say h and h' in $\mathcal{P}_0$. Hence (γ, M, η) can be obtained from h or h' by applying two different sequences of mutations. Therefore h and h' are related by a sequence of mutations which means that both of h and h' are right end initial objects in the same zigzag which is a contradiction with the uniqueness of right end initial objects.

Case 2 The zigzag presentation of the hyperbolic class of (γ, M, η) contains two copies of (γ, M, η) which means there is a non-trivial sequence of right (or left) mutations $\mu^R_{i_1} \cdots \mu^R_{i_j}$ such that $\mu^R_{i_1} \cdots \mu^R_{i_j}(M, \eta) = (M, \eta)$, where $\eta = (\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_n)$. Which leads to one of the following two types of contradictions.

- Without loss of generality, let $\mu^R_{i_t}$ be a repeated mutations even number of times, say $2m$ for some natural number m, inside the sequence $\mu^R_{i_1} \cdots \mu^R_{i_j}$. Then we must have

$$\xi^m_{i_j, \Theta_{i_t}(M)} \eta_{i_t} \xi^{-m}_{\Theta_{i_t}, M} = id_{\Theta_{i_t}(M)}$$

which means that for every $w \in M$,

$$\eta_{i_t}(w) = \xi^{-m}_{i_t,\Theta_{i_t}(M)} id_{\Theta_{i_t}(M)} \xi^{m}_{\Theta_{i_t},M}(w) = w$$

which contradicts with the fact that η_{i_t} is different from the identity morphism.

- Again without loss of generality, let $\mu^R_{i_{t'}}$ be a repeated mutations odd number of times, say $2m+1$ for some natural number m, inside the sequence $\mu^R_{i_1} \cdots \mu^R_{i_j}$. Then we must have

$$\xi^{m+1}_{\Theta_{i_{t'}},M} \eta^{-1}_{i_{t'}} \xi^{-m}_{i_{t'},\Theta_{i_{t'}}(M)} = id_M$$

which means that for every $w \in M$,

$$\eta^{-1}_{i_{t'}}(w) = \xi^{-m-1}_{\Theta_{i_{t'}},M} id_{\Theta_{i_{t'}}(M)} \xi^{m}_{i_{t'},\Theta_{i_{t'}}(M)}(w) = \xi^{-1}_{i_{t'}}(w)$$

which contradicts with the fact that $\eta_{i_{t'}}$ is different from the morphism $\xi_{i_{t'}}$.

□

Definition 3.25. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a categorical preseed with its initial categorical preseed $\mathcal{P}_0$. The clustered category $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$ defined in Lemma 3.23 is called the *clustered hyperbolic category* of $\mathcal{P}$ and the objects of the initial categorical preseed $\mathcal{P}_0$ are called initial objects.

Remark 3.26. If $\mathcal{P} = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle)$ is a categorical preseed such that the skew Laurent category $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ contains no mutationally equivalent objects, then the category $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})$ is identified with the clustered hyperbolic category $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$. Furthermore, every Laurent skew category $\mathcal{A}\langle\Theta\rangle$ of any categorical preseed $\mathcal{S}$, that is mutationally equivalent to $\mathcal{P}$ contains no mutationally equivalent objects.

Theorem 3.27 provides another way to introduce a clustered hyperbolic category from categorical preseed $\mathcal{P}$ induced from a Weyl preseed p, the theorem also provides a relation between the clustered hyperbolic category and the category of representations of the Weyl cluster algebra $\mathcal{H}(p)$.

Let $p = (\alpha, \xi, \theta)$ be a Weyl pressed in a division ring $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{H}(p)$ be its Weyl cluster algebra. Denote the category of representations of $\mathcal{H}(p)$ by $\mathcal{H}(p)$-mod. Let $\psi_* : \mathcal{H}(p)$-mod$\to R - mod$, the forgettable functor that sends each $\mathcal{H}(p)$ module to itself forgetting about the action of the elements of the cluster set $\mathcal{X}(p)$. Consider the category $\mathcal{A}_p = \psi_*(\mathcal{H}(p)$-mod). One can see that $\mathcal{A}_p$ is an additive category as it is a full subcategory of the category $R - mod$.

Theorem 3.27. *The Weyl preseed $p = (\alpha, \xi, \theta)$ gives raise to a clustered hyperbolic category $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{W})$ that is generated by (possibly infinity many) equivalent hyperbolic categories where each one of them is equivalent to $\mathcal{A}_p$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W} = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}_p\langle\Theta\rangle)$ be a categorical preseed in the additive category $\mathcal{A}_p$ induced from p same way as in Example 3.8, where the set of objects of the skew Laurent category $\mathcal{A}_p\langle\Theta\rangle$ is given by $\{(M, \overline{\alpha}); M \text{ is an object in } \mathcal{A}_p\}$. By definition of preseeds the elements of $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ are algebraically independent then so are the morphisms $\{\overline{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_n\}$ which means that non of the objects of $\mathcal{A}_p\langle\Theta\rangle$ are mutationally equivalent. Therefore the category $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{W})$ of all hyperbolic objects of the categorical preseed $\mathcal{W}$ is naturally a clustered hyperbolic category, thanks Remark 3.26.

One can see that the algebras $\mathcal{H}^R(s)$, $\mathcal{H}^L(s)$ and the Weyl cluster algebra $\mathcal{H}(p)$ are all isomorphic for every preseed s that is mutationally equivalent to p, thanks to Part (3) of Theorem 2.13. Hence the categories $\mathcal{H}(p)-mod, H^R(s)-mod$ and $H^L(s)$-mod are equivalent. Also, one can define a one to one correspondence between the objects of $\mathcal{A}_p$ and the set of all cluster classes of $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{W})$ given by $M \leftrightarrow [(M, \overline{\alpha})]$. Which gives rise to pairs of inverse functors $\mathcal{F}_s$ and $\mathcal{G}_s$ for every preseed s, mutationally equivalent to p and for every categorical preseed $\mathcal{S}$ mutationally equivalent to $\mathcal{W}$ that is $\mathcal{S} = \mu(\mathcal{W})$ and $s = \mu'(p)$ for some sequence of categorical mutations μ and sequence of preseeds mutations μ' corresponding to μ, given by

$$\mathcal{F}_s : \mathcal{H}(s) - \text{mod} \to \mathfrak{C}^R(\mathcal{S}) \text{ given by } \quad W \mapsto (\mu^R(\overline{\beta}), W, \overline{\beta});$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_s : \mathfrak{C}^R(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{H}(s) - \text{mod} \text{ given by } \ (\mu^R(\overline{\beta}), W, \overline{\beta}) \mapsto W;$$

both of $\mathcal{F}_s$ and $\mathcal{G}_s$ are identities on morphisms, here $\overline{\beta} = \mu(\overline{\alpha})$. Since the category $\mathfrak{C}^R(S)$ (respect to $\mathfrak{C}^L(S)$) is a full subcategory of $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{W})$, then $\mathcal{A}_p$ is embedded in the clustered category $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{W})$. The following diagram explains the relations between the categories $\mathcal{A}_p, \mathcal{H}(s) - \text{mod}, \mathfrak{C}^R(S)$, $\mathfrak{C}^L(S)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{W})$

$$\mathcal{A}_p \equiv \mathcal{H}(s) - \text{mod} \equiv \mathfrak{C}^R(S) \equiv \mathfrak{C}^L(S) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{W}) \tag{3.25}$$

□

Theorem 3.28. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a categorical preseed induced from a preseed p and $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$ be any clustered hyperbolic category with objects are hyperbolic objects of $\mathcal{P}$. Then there is a map $\mathbf{F}_p$ from Obj.$\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$ to the Weyl cluster algebra $\mathcal{H}(p)$ such that image of $\mathbf{F}_p$ generates $\mathcal{H}(p)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W} = (\Theta, \xi, \mathcal{A}_p\langle\Theta\rangle)$ be an initial categorical preseed induced from a Weyl preseed $p = (\alpha, \xi, \theta)$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)$. We introduce the *step back map* $f : \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{P}) \to \mathcal{X}(p)$ given by

$$f_p(\mu_{k^m}(\overline{\alpha}_k)) = \begin{cases} \mu_k^R(\alpha_k), & \text{if } m < 0; \\ 1, & \text{if } m = 0; \\ \mu_k^L(\alpha_k), & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.26}$$

One can see that the step back map f_p is well define because for every hyperbolic object $h = (\beta', M, \beta)$ there is a unique categorical pressed $\mathcal{S}$ mutationally equivalent to $\mathcal{W}$ such that h is a hyperbolic object of $\mathcal{S}$, thanks to Lemma 3.23. The uniqueness of $\mathcal{S}$ guarantees the uniqueness of the sequence of categorical mutations that creates h and then the uniqueness of $f_p(M, \beta)$.

Consider the map

$$\mathbf{F}_p : Obj.\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P}) \to \mathcal{H}(p),$$

such that

$$(\alpha', M, \alpha) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_p(\alpha_i), \tag{3.27}$$

where the product is ordered as follows $\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_p(\alpha_i) = f_p(\alpha_1) \cdots f_p(\alpha_n)$. We start by proving that every cluster variable in $\mathcal{X}(p)$ has a preimage in Obj.$\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$. Let z be a cluster variable in $\mathcal{H}(p)$. Then there is $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in [1, n]$ such that $\mu_{k^m}(\alpha_k) = z$. Consider the hyperbolic object (β', M, β) where $\beta = (\overline{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \mu_{k^{m+1}}(\overline{\alpha}_k), \ldots \overline{\alpha}_n)$, hence

$$\mathbf{F}_p(\beta', M, \beta) = 1 \cdots \mu_{k^{-1}}(\mu_{k^{m+1}}(\overline{\alpha}_k)) \cdots 1 = z.$$

With a similar argument we can show that every cluster monomial of the form $z_1 \cdots z_k, k \in [1, n]$ has a hyperbolic object as its $\mathbf{F}_p$ preimage.

□

Corollary 3.29. *Based on the definition of the map $\mathbf{F}_p$ in (3.27), the set of hyperbolic objects*

$$\{\mu_{k^m}(\alpha', M, \alpha) | m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}, k \in [1, n]\}$$

is in one to one correspondence with the set of all cluster variables $\mathcal{X}(p)$.

Acknowledgments

This work had been started while I was attending the Topics in Mathematics class taught by the late Alexander L. Rosenberg. Many thanks to Zongzhu Lin for suggesting the problem and for our valuable discussions. Special thanks to Brian Bischof for introducing me to hyperbolic categories.

References

[1] V. V. Bavula, Generalized Weyl algebras and their representations, Algebra i Analiz, **4**:1 (1992), 7597; English transl. in St. Petersburg Math. J.**4** (1993), no. 1, 7192.

[2] A. Berenstein, S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebra III: Upper bounds and double Bruhat cells, Duke Math. J.; math. RT/0305434.

[3] A. Berenstein, and A. Zelevinsky, Quantum Cluster algebras, Adv. Math. **195** (2005), no. 2, 405-455.

[4] A. B. Buan, R. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten and G. Todorov, Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics, Adv. Math. **204** (2006), no. 2, 572618.

[5] P. Caldero and F. Chapoton, Cluster algebras as Hall algebras of quiver representations, Comment. Math. Helv. **81** (2006), 595616.

[6] J. Dixmier, Enveloping algebras, North Holland 1977.

[7] V. V. Fock, and A. B. Goncharov, Cluster Ensembles, Quantization and The Dilogarithm, Ann.Scient.Ec.Norm.Sup, **42**, 865-930, 2009.

[8] V. V. Fock, and A. B. Goncharov, Cluster Ensembles, Quantization and The Dilogarithm II: The intertwiner, arXive:math/0702398v1 [math.QA]13 Feb. 2007.

[9] V. V. Fock, and A. B. Goncharov, The quantum Dilogarithm and Representations of Cluster varieties, arXive:math/0702398v6 [math.QA]21 Jul. 2008.

[10] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras I. Foundations. J.Amer.Math. Soc., **15(2)**:497-529(electronic), 2002.

[11] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras II: Finite type classification, Invent. Math. **154** (2003), 63-121.

[12] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras IV: Coefficients, Compositio Mathematica **143** (2007)112-164.

[13] D. Hernandez, B. Leclerc, Cluster algebras and quantum affine algebras, Duke Math. J. Volume **154**, Number 2 (2010), 265-341.

[14] B. Leclerc, Cluster algebras and representation theory, arXiv:1009.4552v1 [math.RT] 23 Sep 2010

[15] O. Ore, Linear equations in non-commutative fields, Ann. of Math. (2)32 (1931)463-477.

[16] A. L. Rosenberg, Algebraic Geometry and representations of quantized Algebras, Kluwer academic publishers, Dordrecht, Boston London, 1995.

[17] A. L. Rosenberg, Geometry of Grothendieck Categories, Preprint, Harvard, 1989.

[18] I. A. Saleh, Cluster Structure on Generalized Weyl Algebras, Algebr Represent Theor (2016) **19** :1017-1041.

[19] A. Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras: Notes for 2004 IMCC (Chonju, Korea, August 2004), arXiv:math.RT/0407414.